

Airports Authority of India
Rajiv Gandhi Bhavan, New Delhi-110003
F.No. AAI/20012/199/2011-ARI (NOC)
Dated: 22nd August, 2012.

Minutes of the Meeting of Grievance Redressal Committee on No Objection Certificate (NOC) for height clearance held on 22nd August, 2012.

1. The meeting of the Grievance Redressal Committee (GRC) set up by the Ministry of Civil Aviation, Govt. of India vide letter No. AV.20036/66/2000-AAI (Vol.III) dated 05.12.2011 to consider appeal/grievance made by different applicants with regard to the height allocated to them for their construction vis-à-vis the height sought by them, was held under the Chairmanship of Chairman, Airports Authority of India, wherein the following Committee members were also present:-

- | | | | |
|----|----------------------|---|--------------------|
| 1. | Shri V. Somasundaram | - | Member (ANS), AAI. |
| 2. | Shri G.K. Chaukiyal | - | Member (Ops), AAI. |
| 3. | Shri J.S. Rawat | - | Joint DGCA, DGCA. |

2. The Committee was assisted by Shri Vijayinder Kumar Dutta, ED (ATM) and Shri S.K. Purwar, General Manager (ATM-NOC).

3. The minutes of the last meeting held on 20th July, 2012 was accepted.

4. The following cases and other agenda points were taken up in the meeting for discussion:-

- | | | | |
|----|-----------------------|---|----------------------|
| a) | M/s. Wadhwa Residency | - | Case No. MUM/10/365. |
| b) | M/s. Wadhwa Residency | - | Case No. MUM/10/362 |
| c) | M/s. Wadhwa Residency | - | Case No. MUM/10/361. |

5. The following decisions were made with respect to each of the individual case and other agenda points:-

5.1. M/s. Wadhwa Residency, C/o Shri Bhupendra Patrawala, Mumbai.

Case No. MUM/10/361 (CHQ File No. AAI/20012/148/2010-ARI (NOC))
Site Address: CTS NO. 50 (pt), 50/5 (pt), 50/6, 50/7 (pt), 50/35 to 50/42, Sector VI of village Vikhroli at L.B.S. Marg, Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai.

Case No. MUM/10/362 (CHQ File No. AAI/20012/147/2010-ARI (NOC))
Site Address: CTS NO. 50 (pt), 50/2 (pt), 50/3, 50/4, 50/5 (pt), 50/7 (pt), Sector IV of village Vikhroli at L.B.S. Marg, Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai.

Case No. MUM/10/365 (CHQ File No. AAI/20012/149/2010-ARI (NOC))

Site Address: CTS NO. 50 (pt), 50/1, 50/2 (pt), Sector II of village Vikhroli at L.B.S. Marg, Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai.

In accordance with the decision taken in the GRC meeting held on 20th July, 2012, NOC was issued to the applicant for above 3 cases vide Authorization Letter dated 26th July, 2012 pending re-examination of their case with respect to the contour of the Lal Batti-Hill.

The Committee heard the representations made by the applicant for a height of 134.81 m AMSL for Case No. MUM/10/365, a height of 132.97 m AMSL for Case No. MUM/10/362 and a height of 131.68 m AMSL for Case No. MUM/10/361 made vide their letter dated 13.08.2012.

A refined survey was carried out by AAI Survey Team and based on the contour information provided by the survey team, a re-examination with respect to the BARO-VNAV procedure (PBN.03) Runway 27 was done by AAI. The permissible top elevation for the proposed buildings with respect to BARO-VNAV procedure comes out to be 115.2 m AMSL.

The case was further examined, from PANS-OPS criteria with respect to ILS procedure of Runway 27 against the claim of the applicant.

Height calculation as per ILS procedure was as below:

Case no. MUM/10/361 (In approach) – 93.8 M as per basic ILS surface based on distance X=4538M Y=800M, restricted to 87.5M due G P inoperative procedure

Case no. MUM/10/362 – 109.43 M as per basic ILS surface [based on distances X=4416M & Y=912M], restricted to 87.5M due G P inoperative procedure

Case no. MUM/10/365 – 118.755M as per basic ILS surface [based on distances X=4464M & Y=976M]. restricted to 87.5M due G P inoperative procedure.

Remark: Permissible elevation is restricted by GP Inoperative Procedure OCA. OAS are not intended as planning surfaces for unrestricted obstacle growth. (Doc 8168 Vol. II-Section II-Chapter 1,1.4.8.1.3).

Hence, the proposed buildings are restricted to 87.5 m AMSL due to the Glide Path inoperative procedure Runway 27 and the benefit of Y Surface (transitional) of ILS procedure is not applicable for granting height to a new structure. The Committee did not find any valid justification for accepting the applicant's plea for the higher heights as claimed in their representations. The Committee, therefore, recommends as under:

"A height of 87.5 M AMSL is admissible for above three plots for which re-examination is done".

5.2 Procedure for revalidation

The procedure for revalidation of NOC, as discussed in the GRC meeting held on 1st June, 2012, has been duly incorporated in the ATMC No. 6 of 2012 and has been circulated to Regions and Stations.

5.3 Fee for processing NOC cases by Region/Station level NOC Cells.

The Committee deliberated on the issue of imposing some fee for processing of NOC cases by Region/Station level NOC Cells, but no consensus could be reached. The Committee, therefore, decided to maintain the status-quo.

5.4 Disposal of Britannia Industries Ltd. case, pertaining to HAL, Bangalore requesting for Aeronautical Study.

The case pertains to HAL, Defence Aerodrome which as per S.O. 84 (E) is not within the jurisdiction of Airports Authority of India (AAI) for NOC purpose. The Committee decided that the applicant should take up the case with HAL, Bangalore. An Aeronautical Study may be conducted by AAI if HAL makes a specific request for the same and thereafter submit the Aeronautical Study report to HAL for their decision.

5.5 Action on disposal of other cases


It was reported to the Committee that in a total of 25 cases, NOCAS ID and the requisite fee has been received. The Committee directed that these cases be examined and put-up before the GRC in the next meeting along with brief. The remaining cases shall be taken up when processed after receipt of NOCAS ID and fee.



(V. Somasundaram)
Member (ANS), AAI



(G.K. Chaukiyal)
Member (Ops), AAI



(J.S. Rawat)
Jt. DGCA, DGCA



(V.P. Agrawal)
Chairman, GRC & AAI