

**Ministry of Civil Aviation
Rajiv Gandhi Bhawan, New Delhi-110003**

**Minutes of the Meeting of the Appellate Committee held on
16th and 19th August, 2013.**

Reference letter No. AV.20036/66/2000-AAI dated 15-10-2012 read with 31-12-2012 and letter dated 04-01-2013, the Appellate Committee constituted to consider appeal/grievance made by different applicants with regard to the height allocated to them by AAI for their construction vis-à-vis the height sought by them, held its meeting under the Chairmanship of Joint Secretary, Ministry of Civil Aviation (MOCA). The Appellate Committee met on 16th and 19th August, 2013 under the Chairmanship of Jt. Secretary, Ministry of Civil Aviation wherein the following members were present:

The following Committee members were present:-

1. Sh. V. Somasundaram - Member (ANS), AAI
2. Sh. K. Gohain - Technical Expert

Sh. J.S Rawat, Jt. DGCA, was not present.

The Committee was assisted by Shri V.K. Dutta, ED(ATM) and Sh. A.K. Bhardwaj, GM (ATM-NOC) of Airports Authority of India (AAI).

Prior to the review /presentation of the cases listed, the Committee revisited the Sub-committee Report on the integration of multiple radars at airports and their application in determining the heights that would be permissible. The Committee also reviewed the Minutes of the meeting of this Committee held on 24-27-28th May 2013 on the subject. While affirming the decisions taken in the aforesaid meeting, the Committee decided that:

- (i) "GM(Com) Western Region to be called along with concerned AAI representatives at Corporate office, for briefing on the status of installation of the two radars at Santa Cruz Airport Mumbai including the proposed date of operationalising of the said integrated radar system."
- (ii) As the review of NOC issued by Regional / Station Level NOC Cell by CHQ is dispensed with, for any relief the applicant may appeal to the Appellate Committee.

The Committee also decided that copies of all the Minutes of the Meetings shall be submitted to the Ministry for information and any direction if it deems fit.

The Committee on review of the pending cases decided that the pending cases of M/s Wadhwa Residency Pvt. Ltd, Case No. MUM/10/362 & MUM/10/365 and M/s Lalani Builders & Developers, Case No. MUM/07/214 should be taken up in the next meeting and that the applicants be invited to present their case.

The following decisions were taken:

1. M/s Reliance Industries, Mumbai.

CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/453/2006-ARI
Site Address: Plot No. C-64, Village Bandra (East),
Bandra-Kurla Complex, Mumbai.

The applicant had requested for a height of 107 m AMSL which was considered in the earlier meeting of 23/25th July 2013, wherein it was decided that the applicant be called to give their presentation.

During their presentation it was informed that their earlier project of 2006 in which they were permitted a height of 65m AMSL could not take off due to litigation and that the present request is for a larger project for setting up a world class Business & Convention Centre.

During the deliberation, it was revealed that the applicant had earlier in the year 2006 requested a height of 157 m AMSL against which after an ICAO Aeronautical Study followed by Government Review Committee in 2008 was issued an NOC for 65 m AMSL vide AAI letter No.AAI/20012/453/2006-AR1(NOC) dated 7th July, 2008. It was also revealed during the presentation that the plot as indicated in the chart is intersected by the MSA sectoral 3700/2600 line.

The applicant in the current year vide their letter Nil Dated Nil to the Hon'ble Minister of Civil Aviation requested a height of 107 m AMSL by carrying out Aeronautical Study, if required. The applicant also mentioned about a few buildings near their plot which have been given permission for higher heights.

The Committee deliberated on the request now received which is a fresh proposal and took the following decision:

Committees' Decision: The applicant if they so desire may submit a fresh proposal as per their presentation in the meeting including architectural details of the proposed Business & Convention Centre for examination to determine the height permissible at the location.

✓ **2. M/s Lokhandwala Kataria Construction Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai.**

Case No. : MUM/10/512
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/56/2011-ARI
NOCAS ID: JUHU/WEST/B/082912/001
Site Address: CS No.1(Pt.) & 2 (Pt.) of Lower Parel Div. at J.R. Boricha Marg in G/South Ward, Mumbai.

The proposed site is in the OHS of both Santa Cruz and Juhu Airport (more than 12 km away) and the height requested is 311.503 m AMSL. They were issued the NOC letter on 17th January, 2011 by AAI conveying grant of height of 202.99 m AMSL.



During the presentation, the applicant had indicated that their project is under the SRA Scheme of local state authorities and they had also submitted letter dated 19th June, 2013 from the Slum Rehabilitation Authority covering justification for the height sought. The applicant requested for Aeronautical Study for the height 311.503m AMSL sought by them.

Committees' Decision: An Aeronautical Study be conducted to determine the height which could be permitted to them.

3. M/s L&T Realty Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai.

Case No. : MUM/NOCAS/025/12
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/111/2012-ARI
NOCAS ID: SNCR/WEST/B/062112/002
Site Address: C.S No. 117 B of Village Tungwa, Taluka Kurla, Mumbai.

The site lies in the IHS of Santa Cruz Airport at a distance of 3884 m from Rwy 27 end. The applicant had in their letter of 29th June, 2012 requested a height of 126.61 m AMSL against which a height of 56.28 m AMSL was authorized by AAI vide letter No. BT-1/NOC/MUM/12/NOCAS/025 dated 22nd August, 2012. Subsequently, the applicant in their letter of 8th May, 2013 requested for a height of 136 m AMSL to the Appellate Committee.

The applicant had requested Aeronautical Study indicating that it is an industrial plot having units manufacturing products as per requirement tendered by Government and they also wanted to consume full FSI.

Committees' Decision: The applicant's appeal for a height of 136 m AMSL by conducting Aeronautical Study is not acceptable as the justifications furnished by the applicant do not provide any support for special considerations. However, the applicant may be called in the next meeting for giving him an opportunity to present his case.

4. M/s Square Consultants, Mumbai.

Case No. : MUM/12/NOCAS/18
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/100/2012-ARI
NOCAS ID: JUHU/WEST/B/061412/022
Site Address: C.T.S No. 1289 of Village Ville Parle (East), F.P. NO. 103 of TPS 1 of Ville Parle (East), Mumbai.

The site lies in the approach surface of Rwy 26 of Juhu Airport at a distance of 889.1 m from the basic strip of Rwy 26 and also lies in the IHS of Santa Cruz Airport.

The applicant had initially in June 2012 requested for a height of 49.88 m AMSL against which they were granted a height of 38.19 m AMSL in the AAI letter of 22nd June, 2012. The applicant subsequently in their letter of 16th July, 2103 revised their

request for a height of 42 m AMSL and had requested Aeronautical Study to consume full FSI for reallocation of the existing tenants.

Committees' Decision: The applicant request for Aeronautical Study for the requested height of 42m AMSL. Although no Aeronautical Study is conducted in the approach surface, however, the applicant may be called in the next meeting for giving him an opportunity to present his case.

5. M/s Square Consultants, Mumbai.

Case No. : MUM/12/NOCAS/013
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/102/2012-ARI
NOCAS ID: JUHU/WEST/B/061412/024
Site Address: C.T.S No. 458-A of Village Ville Parle (East), Mumbai.

The site lies in the approach surface of Rwy 14 of Santa Cruz Airport and is at a distance of 1006 m from the basic strip of Rwy 14.

The applicant had initially requested for a height of 43.35 m AMSL in their letter of 22nd May, 2012 against which they were granted a NOC for a height of 31.62 m AMSL in AAI letter dated 3rd July, 2012. Subsequently, the applicant had requested a revised height of 34.62 m AMSL in their letter dated 27th July, 2012 and had requested an Aeronautical Study for higher height to consume full FSI available on the plot.

Committees' Decision: The applicant request for Aeronautical Study for the requested height of 34.62 m AMSL. Although no Aeronautical Study is conducted in the approach surface, however, the applicant may be called in the next meeting for giving him an opportunity to present his case.

✓6. M/s Square Consultants, Mumbai

Case No. : MUM/12/NOCAS/12
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/103/2012-ARI
NOCAS ID: JUHU/WEST/B/061112/022
Site Address: C.T.S No. 872, 872/1 to 3 of Village Ville Parle (West), Mumbai.

The site lies in the IHS of both the airports at a distance of 1941 m from Rwy 14 end of Santa Cruz airport and 748.96 m from Rwy 26 end of Juhu Airport.

The applicant had in their letter of 13th June, 2012 requested for a height of 56.27m AMSL against which they were issued an NOC for a height of 49.865 m AMSL in AAI letter of 3rd July, 2012. The applicant had thereafter submitted an appeal for 56.60 m AMSL in their letter of 27th July, 2012 and had requested Aeronautical Study to consume full FSI available on their plot.

The Committee was informed by AAI that the increase in height from 49.865 m AMSL to 56.60 m AMSL in the NOCAS system is due to the implementation of recommendations of the **Juhu – Santa Cruz IHS merger report**.

Committees' Decision: The applicant may be conveyed the grant of height 56.60 m AMSL as requested in their letter of 27th July, 2012.

7. M/s Godrej and Boyce, Hyderabad

Case No. : HY/284/11
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/196/2011-ARI
NOCAS ID: HYDE/SOUTH/B/111512/025
Site Address: Survey Nos. 405, 406, 407(P), 408 & 409(P) at Kukatpally Village, Balanagar Mandel, Rangareddy District, A.P

The site lies at 3748 m from Rwy 09 of Hyderabad (Begumpet Airport) and is in the IHS of the airport.

The applicant had requested for a height of 623m AMSL in their letter of 22nd August, 2011 and also in their subsequent letter of 3rd May, 2012 to the Appellate Committee. The height authorized for grant of NOC to them by AAI vide their letter dated 06th February, 2012 is 575.96 m AMSL.

The applicant had requested for Aeronautical Study by giving reference of nearby building (M/s Emami) which is 1 km from the proposed site of the applicant. The Committee was informed by AAI that the applicant was requested on dated 17th August, 2013 to make a presentation in the meeting but the applicant has shown their inability to attend the meeting in such a short notice.

Committees' Decision: The applicant may be given an opportunity to make their presentation to clarify their submission.

8. M/s Godrej and Boyce, Hyderabad

Case No. : HY/283/11
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/196/2011-ARI
NOCAS ID: HYDE/SOUTH/B/071911/002
Site Address: Survey Nos. 407(P), 409(P), 410, 411, 412 & 413 of Kukatpally Village, Balanagar Mandel, Rangareddy District, A.P

The site lies at 3941 m from Rwy 09 of Hyderabad (Begumpet Airport) and is in the IHS of the airport.

The applicant had in their letter of 22nd August, 2011 had requested a height of 624 m AMSL against which AAI has given authorization for issue of NOC for a height of 575.96 m AMSL in their letter of 7th February, 2012. The applicant, subsequently, made an appeal for a height of 624.00 m AMSL in their letter dated 22nd September,

2012 and had requested Aeronautical Study for height sought giving reference to nearby building (M/s Emami Constructions).

The Committee was informed by AAI that the applicant was requested on dated 17th August, 2013 to make a presentation in the meeting, but the applicant has shown their inability to attend the meeting in such a short notice.

Committees' Decision: The applicant may be given an opportunity to make their presentation to clarify their submission.

9. M/s Lucky Hospitality, M/s Prim Sagar Premises, Mumbai

Case No.: MUM/10/633
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/37/2012-ARI (NOC)
NOCAS ID: JUHU/WEST/B/080312/019.
Site Address: CTS No. F/6 of Village Bandra (West), F.P.No. 333 & 334 of TPS – III of Bandra at Bandra (West), Mumbai

The site is 2989 m from Rwy end of Santa Cruz Airport and lies in the IHS.

The applicant had initially requested for a height of 70.35 m AMSL in their letter of 1st January, 2010 against which AAI had given a height of 56.27 m AMSL in their letter of 21st March, 2011. Subsequently, the applicant had appealed for a height of 72.175 m AMSL in their letter dated 21st August, 2012 and had requested Aeronautical Study to consume the full FSI.

The applicant had on 12 April, 2013 submitted letter giving justification for the height sought with documents from local state authorities supporting the project to a total height of 52.85m AGL (including 5.50 mtrs fo OHT from terrace level).

Committees' Decision: An Aeronautical Study be conducted to determine whether the height 72.175m AMSL requested by the applicant is permissible or not.

√10. Joy Builders, Mumbai

Case No.: MUM/09/587
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/145/2012
NOCAS ID: JUHU/WEST/B/102312/017
Site Address: CTS No. E/86/10 of Village Bandra (West) at 19th Road Khar(West), Mumbai.

The site is 2690 m from Rwy 09 end of Santa Cruz Airport and is in the IHS.

The applicant had in their letter of 18th November, 2009 requested a height of 67.30m AMSL against which they were given an NOC for a height of 56.27 m AMSL in AAI letter dated 26th February, 2010. Subsequently, the applicant had appealed for height of 80 m AMSL in their letter of 29th October, 2012.

The applicant had requested for higher as their project is for Slum Rehabilitation. However, their request is not having any justification nor any support from the local state authorities.

Committees' Decision: The applicant be given an opportunity to provide supporting letter from the local state authorities giving justification for 80 m AMSL height. If no supported letter is received within 30 days the appeal will stand cancelled.

✓ **11. M/s Charmee Nirman & M/s Salient Traders, Mumbai.**

Case No.: MUM/10/679
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/143/2012
NOCAS ID.: JUHU/WEST/B/081812/010
Site Address: CTS No. 81/1 to 148, 81/188 of Village Gundavali, Mumbai.

The site is 2542 m from Rwy 14 end and is in the IHS of Santa Cruz Airport.

The applicant had in their initial letter of 28th October, 2010 requested 56 m AMSL height for which they were granted the said height 56 m AMSL by AAI in their letter dated 25th February, 2011. Subsequently the applicant on 18 October, 2012 had appealed for a height of 73.75 m AMSL on the grounds that the higher height is required for their Slum Rehabilitation project. The applicant had however, did not submit with their appeal any details / justifications nor any support letter from the local state authorities regarding the Slum Rehabilitation.

The Committee observed that the applicant had been earlier authorized the height which they had initially requested i.e. 56 m AMSL.

Committees' Decision: The applicant be given an opportunity to provide detailed justification together with support letter from the local state authorities for the 73.75 m AMSL height sought. If no supported letter is received within 30 days, the appeal will stand cancelled.

12. M/s Square Consultants, Mumbai

Case No.: MUM/12/NOCAS/40
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/123/2012
NOCAS ID.: SNCR/WEST/B/070312/006
Site Address: CTS No. 1249(Pt.), 125(Pt.), 1269 (Pt.) of Village Marol at Military Road, Andheri (East), Mumbai.

The site lies in the IHS of Santa Cruz Airport at a distance of 3669 m from Rwy 14 end.

The applicant had initially requested for 70 m AMSL in their letter dated 14th July, 2012 against which they were authorized 56.28m AMSL in AAI letter dated 13th September, 2012. Subsequently, the applicant had appealed for 80 m AMSL in their letter dated 27th September 2012 and had requested Aeronautical Study to consume

full FSI and provide Overhead Water Tank and Lift Machine Room. The applicant had not provided detailed justification together with supported letter from local state authorities for the higher height now requested.

Committees' Decision: The applicant be given an opportunity to provide supporting letter from the local state authorities, justifying for the 80 m AMSL height sought. If no supporting letter is received within 30 days, the appeal will stand cancelled.

✓ **13. M/s L.V Prasad Eye Institute, Hyderabad**

Case No.: HY/131/12
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/21/2013
NOCAS ID.: HYDE/SOUTH/111712/007
Site Address: Plot No.8-2-269/19 CTS No.2(Pt.), Survey No.403/18 of Banjara Hills, Shaikpet Village, Hyderabad, A.P.

The site is 4009 m from the Rwy 09 end of the Hyderabad (Begumpet) Airport and is in the conical surface.

The applicant had requested a height of 610.26 m AMSL in their online application dated 17th November, 2012. No height was granted by AAI as the site elevation is 586m AMSL and the permissible top elevation is 575.28m AMSL (which is less than the Site Elevation).

The applicant has requested the height to make the project viable. There is however, no detailed justification for the height requested.

Committees' Decision: The applicant may be given an opportunity to make their presentation to clarify their submission.

✓ **14. M/s Nuzen Herbal Pvt. Ltd. Hyderabad**

Case No.: HY/232/2012
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/158/2012-ARI (NOC)
NOCAS ID.: HYDE/SOUTH/B/110112/008
Site Address: Office Building on Municipal House No. 8-2-293/82/A/92 & 8-2-293/A/92/1 on Plot No. 92 in Survey No. 403/1, Shaikpet Village, Hyderabad.

The site lies at 4665 m from Rwy 09 end of Hyderabad Airport(Begumpet) and is in the conical surface.

The applicant had requested for 625 m AMSL in their online application of 1st November 2012 against which an authorization for 602.30 m AMSL was issued by AAI in their letter of 23-11-2012. Subsequently the applicant had on 15th February, 2013 requested for a height of 617 m AMSL.

The applicant during the presentation in the meeting has quoted other buildings in the neighborhood having height of 573/596 m AMSL. The applicant in the presentation requested that they need the height for their shooting activities in connection with the news channel studios being setup which will have minimum 20



to 25 feet height as per international standards. The applicant requested that if required Aeronautical Study may be carried out for their site.

Committees' Decision: An Aeronautical Study be conducted to determine whether the height of 625 m AMSL as requested by the applicant is permissible or not.

✓ **15. Sh. Anil Aggarwal, C/o M/s Rockline Construction Company, Mumbai**

Case No.: MUM/10/572
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/136/2011-ARI (NOC)
NOCAS ID.: SNCR/WESTB/111912/019
Site Address: C.T.S. no. 689(Pt.), Village Marol, Bandra(East), Mumbai.

The site is 2746 m from Rwy 27 end of Santa Cruz Airport and lies in IHS.

The applicant initially requested height 125.30 m AMSL in their letter 30th August, 2010 against which AAI has issued authorization on 11th January, 2011 for NOC to height of 56.27 m AMSL. Subsequently the applicant in their online appeal dated 19th November 2012 requested for height of 85 m AMSL and had requested Aeronautical Study.

During the hearing, the applicant indicated presence of other buildings to a height of 80/85 m AMSL and presence of a hill. They also indicated that part of their plot had to be given for road construction and that they have to consume the FSI in the available area of the plot. The presentation by the applicant made it clear that the contours of the hill as stated do not provide any shielding benefit to the plot. The presence of other high buildings as mentioned do not reflect in the presentation made, neither there is any justification with support letter from local state authorities for considering aeronautical study.

Committees' Decision: The applicant's request for Aeronautical Study for the requested height of 85 m AMSL is rejected as there is no justification provided.

✓ **16. Pramod Shah, Mumbai**

Case No.: MUM/09/370
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/168/2011
NOCAS ID.: SNCR/WEST/B/121012/016
Site Address: CTS No. 36, 363/1 to 24 of Village Kurla, Mumbai.

The site lies in the approach surface of Santa Cruz airport at a distance of 421.68 m from basic strip of Rwy 32.

The applicant had in their letter of 17th July, 2009 requested for a height 27.68 m AMSL against which AAI had granted the height of 16.60 m AMSL vide letter 28th May, 2010. The applicant subsequently in their online application of 10th December, 2012 had appealed for a height of 25.92 m AMSL. The applicant had requested the height as they have to accommodate all the tenants of the existing building which is

in the same place and is in a dilapidated condition. MCGM has given notice for vacation of the building and has also approved their construction plan for a 4 storey building at that location.

The applicant had not submitted any letter from the local state authorities in support of their contention.

Committees' Decision: The applicant be given an opportunity to provide supporting letter from the local state authorities, justifying for the 25.92 m AMSL height sought and to make a presentation to the Committee.

✓ **17. M/s Catapult Realty Consultants, Mumbai**

Case No.: MUM/12/NOCAS/022
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/04/2013
NOCAS ID.: JUHU/WEST/B/102312/017
Site Address: CTS No. 126 of Village Chembur, Mumbai.

The site is 3031 m from Rwy 32 end and lies in the Santa Cruz Airport.

The applicant had requested on 21st June, 2012 for 129.65 m AMSL against which they had been given a height of 56.28 m AMSL by AAI in the letter dated 16th November, 2012. The applicant had in their online appeal of 20th February, 2013 requested for an Aeronautical Study for height sought (129.65 m AMSL) so as to consume FSI 4 as per the Development Control Regulation for Slum Rehabilitation Scheme.

The appeal is not supported by the detailed justification together with support letter from the local state authorities as contented above.

Committees' Decision: The applicant be given an opportunity to provide supporting letter from the local state authorities, justifying for the 129.65 m AMSL height sought. If no supported letter is received within 30 days, the appeal will stand cancelled.

✓ **18. M/s H.J Thakur Architects Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai**

Case No.: MUM/12/NOCAS/050
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/29/2013
NOCAS ID.: SNCR/WEST/B/070512/003
Site Address: CTS No. 189-A, 189-B, 189-C, 190A(Pt.), 190A/1 to 5, 190B, 191A, 191A/1 to 7, 191B, Village of Village Bandra (West) at 19th Road Khar(West), Mumbai.

The site is 2998 m from Rwy 27 end and lies with the IHS of Santa Cruz Airport.

The applicant had requested for 97.30 m AMSL in their letter of 5th July, 2012 against which they were granted a height of 56.28 m AMSL by AAI in their letter 17th September, 2012. The applicant had requested Aeronautical Study indicating that structural higher height already exists near their plot and that their plot is being



developed as per DC Regulations of 1991 of Mumbai and they require the height sought for consuming full FSI.

The applicant had not provided any support letter from the local state authorities for the height sought nor they have indicated specific buildings near their plot having higher height.

Committees' Decision: The applicant be given an opportunity to provide supporting letter from the local state authorities, justifying for the 97.30 m AMSL height sought and give a presentation on their contention of higher height building near their plot.

✓ **19. M/s Spenta Housing Corporation, Mumbai.**

Case No.: MUM/114/288
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/114/2012
NOCAS ID.: SNCR/WEST/B/012613/001
Site Address: CTS No. 343(Pt.), of Village Chembur, Mumbai.

The site is 3176 m from Rwy 32 end and lies in the IHS of Santa Cruz airport.

The applicant had requested for 80.12 m AMSL in their letter of 20th May, 2011 against which they were granted a height of 56.27 m AMSL by AAI in their letter of 8th November, 2011. The applicant had appealed for a height 90 m AMSL in their letter of 2nd August, 2012. The applicant had requested aeronautical study as the project is under SRA and that they have to follow the DC Regulations 33(10).

The applicant during their presentation indicated that they have to provide 1512 tenants for Slum Rehabilitation and that their plot had to provide setbacks for road widening and for environment requirements. The applicant had not provided any support letter for the height sought from the local state authorities.

Committees' Decision: The applicant be given an opportunity to provide supporting letter from the local state authorities, justifying for the 90 m AMSL height sought before Aeronautical Study is considered. If no supported letter is received within 30 days, the appeal will stand cancelled.

✓ **20. M/s Axayraj Buildwell, Mumbai**

Case No.: MUM/09/621
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/180/2010
NOCAS ID.: JUHU/WEST/B/072512/047
Site Address:

The site is at 3904 m from Rwy 14 end and lies in the approach funnel and also in IHS of Santa Cruz Airport. The applicant had initially requested 78.05 m AMSL against which AAI granted them 56.27 m AMSL. The applicant subsequently appealed 83.75 m AMSL dated 28th January 2011.

The applicant in their request for higher height has stated that the project is being developed under MHADA scheme to re-accommodate 320 residents. The case was earlier examined by Grievance Redressal Committee on 6th September, 2012 and no further height beyond 56.27 m AMSL was admissible. Since the site lies in the approach surface of Rwy 14, Aeronautical Study also cannot be considered.

Committees' Decision: The applicant's request for higher height of 83.75 m AMSL cannot be accepted as no Aeronautical Study is permitted in the approach surface. Height of 56.90m AMSL from NOCAS system is permissible. The applicant may be called in the next meeting for giving them an opportunity to present their case.

✓ **21. M/s YM Consultants, Mumbai.**

Case No.: MUM/12/NOCAS/085
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/43/2013
NOCAS ID.: SNCR/WEST/B/071612/009
Site Address: CTS No. 30 of Village Majas Jogeshwari(East), Mumbai.

The site is 4353 m from Rwy 14 end and lies in the conical surface of Santa Cruz Airport.

The applicant had requested for 125.88 m AMSL in their letter dated 18th July, 2012 against which they were granted height 73.94 m AMSL by AAI in their letter of 15th October, 2012. The applicant had appealed for the requested height 125.88 m AMSL in their online application dated 16th July, 2012. He has further indicated that the higher height is required to make their project viable as they have to provide Public Parking under DCR 33(24).

The applicant has not provided any additional justification beyond the above neither they had produced any supported letter from local state authorities for the height sought.

Committees' Decision: The applicant be given an opportunity to provide detailed justification together with supporting letter from the local state authorities for the 125.88 m AMSL height sought. If no supporting letter is received within 30 days, the appeal will stand cancelled.

✓ **22. M/s Griha Rachna, Mumbai**

Case No.: MUM/12/NOCAS/016
CHQ File No.: AAI/20012/126/2012
NOCAS ID.: SNCR/WEST/B/052612/007
Site Address: CTS No. 706, 706/1 Village Majas Jogeshwari(East), Mumbai.

The site lies at the distance of 2019 m from Rwy 14 end and is in the IHS of Santa Cruz airport.

The applicant had earlier requested for 86.16 m AMSL in their letter dated 1st June, 2012 against which they were granted 56.27m AMSL by AAI in their letter of 8th August, 2012.

The applicant had subsequently appealed for a height of 80.9 m AMSL in their letter dated NIL received in this office dated 03-07-2013 and requested Aeronautical Study as their project is associated with Slum Rehabilitation wherein they have to accommodate 1300 tenants and provide amenities.

The applicant has not submitted any detailed justification together with support letter from the local state authorities for the height 80.95 m AMSL sought.

Committees' Decision: The applicant be given an opportunity to provide supporting letter from the local state authorities, justifying for the 80.90 m AMSL height sought. If no supported letter is received within 30 days, the appeal will stand cancelled.

Next Appellate Committee meeting is fixed on 24th August, 2013.


(V.SOMASUNDARAM)
MEMBER (ANS)


(K.GOHAIN)
TECHNICAL EXPERT


(ANIL SRIVASTAVA)
JT. SECY, MOCA
CHAIRMAN, APPELLATE COMMITTEE

Place: New Delhi
Date: 19th August, 2013