## Ministry of Civil Aviation

## Rajiv Gandhi Bhavan, New Delhi-110003

## Minutes of the Meeting of Appellate Committee on No Objection Certificate (NOC) for height clearance held on $30^{\text {th }}$ April. 2013.

Reference letter No. AV.20036/66/200-AAI dated 15-10-2012 read with 31-12-2012 and letter dated 04-01-2013, the Appellate Committee constituted to consider appeal/grievance made by different applicants with regard to the height allocated to them by AAI for their construction visàvis the height sought by them, held its meeting under the Chairmanship of Joint Secretary, Ministry of Civil Aviation (MOCA).

The following Committee members were present:-

1. Sh. V. Somasundaram - Member (ANS), AAI
2. Sh. K. Gohain - Technical Expert

The committee member Sh. J.S. Rawat, Jt. DGCA was not available due his preoccupation with other official assignment. The Committee was assisted by Shri S.K. Purwar, GM (ATMNOC) of Airports Authority of India (AAl).

There were a total of 9 cases submitted for consideration of the Committee in the meeting based on the compliance letter received from these applicants from local bodies to support their request for Aeronautical Study.

The Chairman directed to prepare a list of Aeronautical Studies done in the past around Mumbai Airport with the following information viz. name of the project, height requested, year of Aeronautical Study and height granted by the Competent Authority after Aeronautical Study for ready reference. Chairman also desired that details of the Aeronautical Studies earlier recommended in the meetings held during Jan to March, 2013 by Appellate Committee are presently underway be prepared for review so that cases are cleared in a time bound manner. Chairman also discussed the status of the proposed multi-radar integration criteria at Mumbz Airport and directed that the prospects of city development and airport operator requirements are kept in a balanced perception.

The following cases were discussed and decisions/recommendations made by the Committee are as here under:-

## 1. Shri B.S. Joshi for Brighton Architect (India) Pvt. Ltd., Clo D.B. Realty, Mumbai.

## Case No. MUM/10/652 (CHQ File No. AAl/20012/75/2011-ARI (NOC) (NOCAS ID: SNCR/WEST/B/091412/005).

Site Address: C.T.S. No. 649 and $649 / 1$ to $649 / 48$ of Village Bandra at Bandra (East), Mumbai.
The site is located at Bandra (East) at about 3440 m from Runway 09 end of Santacruz Airport and lies in IHS.

The case was earlier heard in the Appellate Committee on $20^{\text {th }}$ March, 2013 wherein the Committee decided that the applicant needs to submit letter from the concerned authority/local government body categorically bringing out the justification to the affect that
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the applicant is required to construct the building up to the height requested for consuming entire permissible FSI.

The Applicant has since submitted the letter No.CHE/WS/0477/H/337(New) dated 01st April 2013 from Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai(MCGM), wherein the MCGM has justified that due to the state regulations in vogue required in demarcation of areas from the plot, the height of the building needs to be 106 m AGL, site elevation 3.75 m AMSL ( 109.75 m AMSL) to enable consuming the entire FSI. The applicant had applied for height of 108.75 m AMSL in the NOCAS system.

Committees' Decision: After considering the justification received from the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM) vide their letter No.CHE/WS/0477/H/337(New) dated $01^{\text {st }}$ April 2013, the Committee decided that an Aeronautical Study be conducted to determine whether the height as justified by the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM) is admissible or not.
2. M/s. Housing Dev. \& Infra Ltd. (HDIL), Mumbai.

Case No. MUM/11/44 (CHQ File No. AAI/20012/50/2011-ARI (NOC) (NOCAS ID: SNCR/WEST/B/071512/006).

Site Address: C.T.S. No. 16 (Pt), 17 of Village Chakala, Andheri (East), Mumbai.
The site is located at Andheri (East) at about 1840 m from Rwy 14 end of Santacruz Airport and lies in IHS.

The case was earlier heard in the Appellate Committee on $20^{\text {th }}$ March, 2013 wherein the Committee decided that the applicant needs to submit letter from the concerned authorityllocal government body categorically bringing out the justification to the affect that the applicant is required to construct the building up to the height requested for consuming entire permissible FSI.

The applicant has now submitted a letter No.SRA/DDTP/Desk/17171/3-11 dated $5^{\text {th }}$ February, 2013 from the Slum Rehabilitation Authority (SRA) wherein the SRA had justified that the project which is for Slum Rehabilitation requires a height of 50 m AGL which corresponds to 77.70 m AMSL. The applicant had however, in its request for height had asked for 90.00 m AMSL in their letter dated $10^{\text {th }}$ March, 2011. The applicant vide letter dated $25^{\text {th }}$ April, 2013 along with forwarding the letter of the Mumbai State Authorities has revised their request for the revised height of 50 m AGL as has been justified by the State Authorities.

Committees' Decision: After considering the justification received from Slum Rehabilitation Authority (SRA) vide their letter No. SRA/DDTP/Desk/17171/3-11 dated $5^{\text {th }}$ February, 2013, the Committee decided that an Aeronautical Study be conducted to determine whether the height as justified by the Slum Rehabilitation Authority (SRA) is admissible or not.
3. M/s. Housing Dev. \& Infra Ltd. (HDIL), Mumbai.

Case No. MUM/11/45 (CHQ File No. AAI/20012/51/2011-ARI (NOC)
(NOCAS ID: SNCR/WEST/B/090512/014).
Site Address: C.T.S. No. 16 (Pt), Village Chakala, Andheri (East), Mumbai.
5. M/s. Pride Hill Developers Pvt. Ltd. C/o M/s. Jadhav Kalbag \& Associates, Mumbai.

Case No. MUM/11/20 (CHQ File No. AAl/20012/90/2011-ARI (NOC) (NOCAS ID: JUHU/WEST/B/081312/041).
Site Address: C.T.S. No. a/754, a/755A \& B and A/757 of Village Bandra, Hill Road, Bandra (West), Mumbai - 400050.

The site is located at Bandra (East) at about 4120 m from Rwy 09 end of Santacruz Airport and lies in conical surface of the Airport.
The case was earlier heard in the Appellate Committee on $20^{\text {th }}$ March, 2013 wherein the Committee decided that the applicant needs to submit letter from the concerned authority/local government body categorically bringing out the justification to the affect that the applicant is required to construct the building up to the height requested for consuming entire permissible FSI.
The applicant has now submitted a letter from the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM) dated $6^{\text {th }}$ April, 2013 wherein the local authorities had justified that the project requires a height of 107.40 m AGL which corresponds to 113.90 m AMSL . The applicant had however, in their request for height had asked for 185.20 m AMSL in their letter dated $07^{\text {th }}$ May, 2011.
Committees' Decision: The Committee decided that since the height justified by the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM) is much less than the height requested by the applicant, the request for Aeronautical Study will be taken up only after the applicant makes a formal request for the height justified by Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM).

## 6. M/s. Transcon Developers C/o M/s. Shraddha Shelters Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai.

## Case No. MUM/11/108 (CHQ File No. AAl/20012/169/2011-ARI (NOC) (NOCAS ID: JUHU/WEST/B/082312/017).

Site Address: C.T.S. No. F/1133 to F/1136 Village Bandra, at Jari Mari Mandir Road, Bandra (West), Mumbai - 400050.

The site is located at Bandra (West) at about 3808 m from Rwy 09 end and lies in IHS of Santacurz Airport.
The case was earlier heard in the Appellate Committee on $20^{\text {th }}$ March, 2013 wherein the Committee decided that the applicant needs to submit letter from the concerned authority/local government body categorically bringing out the justification to the affect that the applicant is required to construct the building up to the height requested for consuming entire permissible FSI.
The applicant has now submitted a letter No.CE/1782/NS/AH dated $10^{\text {th }}$ April, 2013 from the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM) wherein the MCGM has justified that the project requires a height of 103 m AMSL. The applicant had requested for height of 103 m AMSL in their letter dated $14^{\text {th }}$ September, 2011.
Committees' Decision: After considering the justification received from the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM) vide their letter No. CE $/ 1782 \mathrm{WS} / \mathrm{AH}$ dated $10^{\text {th }}$ April, 2013, the Committee decided that an Aeronautical Study be conducted to determine whether

The site is located at Andheri (East) at about 1872 m from Rwy 14 end of Santacruz Airport and lies in IHS .

The case was earlier heard in the Appellate Committee on $20^{\text {h }}$ March, 2013 wherein the Committee decided that the applicant needs to submit letter from the concerned authority/local government body categorically bringing out the justification to the affect that the applicant is required to construct the building up to the height requested for consuming entire permissible FSI.

The applicant has now submitted a letter No. SRA/DDTP/Desk/17171/3-11 dated $5^{\text {m }}$ February, 2013 from the Slum Rehabilitation Authority (SRA) wherein the SRA has justified that the project which is for Slum Rehabilitation requires a height of 50 m AGL which corresponds to 79.91 m AMSL. The applicant had however, in its request for height had asked for 90.00 m AMSL in their letter dated $10^{\text {th }}$ March, 2011. The applicant vide letter dated $25^{\text {th }}$ April, 2013 along with forwarding the letter of the Mumbai State Authorities has revised their request for the revised height of 50 m AGL as has been justified by the State Authorities.

Committees' Decision: After considering the justification received from Slum Rehabilitation Authority(SRA) vide their letter No. SRA/DDTP/Desk/17171/3-11 dated $5^{\text {th }}$ February, 2013, the Committee decided that an Aeronautical Study be conducted to determine whether the height as justified by the Slum Rehabilitation Authority (SRA) is admissible or not.
4. M/s. Housing Dev. \& Infra Ltd. (HDIL), Mumbai.

## Case No. MUM/11/46 (CHQ File No. AAI/20012/52/2011-ARI (NOC) (NOCAS ID: SNCR/WEST/B/090512/017).

Site Address: C.T.S. No. 16 (Pt) of Village Chakala and CTS No. $232 \& 233$ of Village Mulgaon, Andheri (East), Mumbai.

The site is located at Andheri (East) at about 1800 m from Rwy 14 end of Santacruz Airport and lies in IHS .

The case was earlier heard in the Appellate Committee on $20^{\text {th }}$ March, 2013 wherein the Committee decided that the applicant needs to submit letter from the concerned authority/local government body categorically bringing out the justification to the affect that the applicant is required to construct the building up to the height requested for consuming entire permissible FSI.

The applicant has now submitted a letter No. SRA/DDTP/Desk/17171/3-11 dated $5^{\text {th }}$ February, 2013 from the Slum Rehabilitation Authority (SRA) wherein the SRA has justified that the project which is for Slum Rehabilitation requires a height of 50 m AGL which corresponds to 80.80 m AMSL. The applicant had however, in its request for height had asked for 90.00 m AMSL in their letter dated $10^{\text {m }}$ March, 2011. The applicant vide letter dated $25^{\text {ml }}$ April, 2013 along with forwarding the letter of the Mumbai State Authorities has revised their request for the revised height of 50 m AGL as has been justified by the State Authorities.

Committees' Decision: After considering the justification received from Slum Rehabilitation Authority (SRA) vide their letter No. SRA/DDTP/Desk/17171/3-11 dated $5^{\text {th }}$ February, 2013, the Committee decided that an Aeronautical Study be conducted to determine whether the height as justified by the Slum Rehabilitation Authority (SRA) is admissible or not.
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the height as justified by the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM) is admissible or not.

## 7. Mas. Gammon India Ltd., Mumbai.

## Case No. MUM/11/107 (CHQ File No. AAI/20012/23/2012-ARI (NOC) <br> (NOCAS ID: SNCR/WEST/B/110912/016).

Site Address: C.T.S. No. $844 / 22,844 / 26,844 / 31 \& 844 / 32$ of Village Ambivali, at Andheri (West), Mumbai.

The site is located at Andheri (West) at about 4280 m from Rwy 14 end of Santacurz Airport and lies in conical surface.

The case was earlier heard in the Appellate Committee on $20^{\text {th }}$ March, 2013 wherein the Committee decided that the applicant needs to submit letter from the concerned authority/local government body categorically bringing out the justification to the affect that the applicant is required to construct the building up to the height requested for consuming entire permissible FSI.

The applicant has now submitted a letter from the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM) dated $1^{\text {st }}$ April, 2013 wherein the local authorities had justified that the project requires a height of 184.40 m A GL and the site elevation is 4.75 m AMSL ( 189.15 m AMSL) The applicant had earlier on 06.09 .2012 , requested for height of 194.75 m AMSL.

Committees' Decision: The Committee decided that since the height justified by the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM) is less than the height requested by the applicant, the request for Aeronautical Study will be taken up only after the applicant makes a formal request for the height justified by the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM).

## 8. Sh. Atul N Patel of M/s Atul Builders, M/ Atul Project India Ltd.

## Case No. MUM/09/103 (CHQ File No. AAl/20012/04/2012-AR1(NOC) (NOCAS ID:SNCR/WEST /B/083012/013)

## Site Address: C.T.S. No. 17/2-A/1 of Village Vyaravali, Andheri(East), Mumbai.

The site is at about 3185 m from Rwy 14 end of Santacruz Airport and lies in IHS.
The case was earlier heard in the Appellate Committee on 20 March, 2013 wherein the Committee decided that the applicant needs to submit letter from the concerned authority/local government body categorically bringing out the justification to the affect that the applicant is required to construct the building up to the height requested for consuming entire permissible FSI.

The applicant has now submitted a letter No. CE/4294/NS/AK dated 9 $9^{\text {th }}$ April, 2013 from the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM) wherein the MCGM has justified that the project requires a height of 46.85 m AGL( 76.21 m AMSL ). The applicant had earlier requested for height of 76.21 m AMSL in their online application.

Committees' Decision: After considering the justification received from the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM) vide their letter No. CE/4294/WS/AK dated $9^{\text {th }}$ April. 2013, the Committee decided that an Aeronautical Study be conducted to determine whether
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the height as justified by the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM) is admissible or not.
9. M/s Accord Builders, Omkar Esquare, Off. Eastern Express Highway, Opp. Sion Chunbhatti Signal, Sion (E), Mumbai-22.

## Case No. MUM/10/520 (CHQ File No. AAI/20012/47/2011-AR1(NOC) (NOCAS ID:SNCR/WEST/B/121312/012)

Site Address: CTS No. 111, $111 / 1$ to $46,111 / 50$ to $130,112(\mathrm{Pt})$, Village Kurla - II, Mumbai.
The site is at about 1240 m from Rwy 32 end and lies in IHS of Santacruz airport.
The case was earlier heard in the Appellate Committee on $20^{\text {th }}$ March, 2013 wherein the Committee decided that the applicant needs to submit letter from the concerned authority/local government body categorically bringing out the justification to the affect that the applicant is required to construct the building up to the height requested for consuming entire permissible FSI.

The applicant has now submitted a letter No. SRA/Eng/Desk-2/1750/L dated $4^{\text {th }}$ April, 2013 from Slum Rehabilitation Authority(SRA) wherein the local authorities had justified that the project which is under the SRA Scheme and involves rehabilitation of 600 tenements requires a height of 70 m AGL( 76.051 m AMSL). The applicant had earlier requested for height of 76.05 m AMSL in their application dated $16^{\text {th }}$ May, 2011.

Committees' Decision: After considering the justification received from the Slum Rehabilitation Authority (SRA) vide their letter No. SRA/Eng/Desk-2/1750/L dated $4^{\text {th }}$ April, 2013, the Committee decided that an Aeronautical Study be conducted to determine whether the height as justified by the Slum Rehabilitation Authority (SRA) is admissible or not.

(ANIL SRIVASTAVA) JT. SECY, MOCA

Dated: $30^{\text {th }}$ April, 2013
Place: New Delhi

